**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016

Honorable Ernest Y. Martin Chair, City Council City and County of Honolulu

Mr. Chair:

Your Committee on Zoning and Planning, to which was referred Resolution 16-172 entitled:

"RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONCEPTUAL PLAN FOR AN INTERIM PLANNED DEVELOPMENT-TRANSIT PROJECT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MANAOLANA PLACE HOTEL AND RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT PROJECT,"

transmitted by Departmental Communication 477, dated July 5, 2017, from the Department of Planning and Permitting (DPP), reports as follows:

The purpose of Resolution 16-172 is to approve a conceptual plan for an Interim Planned Development-Transit (IPD-T) project by Manaolana Partners, LLC ("Applicant"). to redevelop approximately 1.16 acres of land with a mixed use hotel and residential project in the Ala Moana neighborhood, identified as Tax Map Keys 2-3-41: 001 and 002.

In Departmental Communication 477 (2016), the DPP reported that the Applicant is proposing to demolish five low-rise buildings and develop a 400-foot high mixed-use tower with 109 multi-family dwelling units, 125 hotel units, a hotel lobby, residential activity and park areas, a ballroom, pool, personal services area (spa), four levels of parking with approximately 276 parking spaces, retail and eating establishments, a publically accessible corner plaza at the street level, and other ground-level pedestrian and right-

#### **CITY COUNCIL**

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

OCT 0 5 2016

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 2

of-way improvements in the BMX-3 Community Business Mixed Use District within onehalf mile of the future transit station in the Ala Moana neighborhood.

Your Committee finds that the purpose of the IPD-T (ROH Section 21-9.100.5) is to "provide opportunities for creative, catalytic redevelopment projects within the rail corridor that would not be possible under a strict adherence to the development standards of [the LUO] prior to the adoption of the TOD neighborhood plans or amendments to [the LUO] relating to the future TOD zones (special districts), or both. Qualifying projects must demonstrably exhibit those kinds of attributes that are capable of promoting highly effective transit-enhanced neighborhoods, including diverse employment opportunities. an appropriate mix of housing types, support for multi-modal circulation, and welldesigned publicly accessible and useable spaces. Flexibility may be provided for project uses, density, height and height setbacks, yards, open space, landscaping, streetscape improvements, parking and loading, and signage when timely, demonstrable contributions are incorporated into the project benefiting the community, supporting transit ridership, and implementing the vision established in Section 21-9.100-4." The Council reviews and approves a conceptual plan for an IPD-T project before that project receives a more detailed review and approval from the DPP Director (see also ROH Section 21-2.110-2).

The Applicant is requesting flexibility with respect to the following:

1. Height. The maximum building height allowed for the underlying zoning district is 350 feet. The Applicant is requesting an increase in the building height of 50 feet, from 350 feet to 400 feet, which is the maximum building height under IPD-T development standards.

CITY COUNCIL

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

OCT 0 5 2016 ADOPTED ON

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 3

- 2. Density. The maximum allowable FAR for the BMX-3 Community Business mixed Use District is 2.5 (126,353 square feet of floor area), or a maximum FAR of 3.5 if public open space is provided (176,894 square feet of floor area.) The maximum allowable FAR for IPD-T projects may be up to two times that allowed by the underlying zoning district, or where a draft neighborhood TOD plan identifies greater density, the greater density. The draft Ala Moana TOD Plan specifies a maximum FAR of 10.0 (505,410 square feet of floor area) for properties fronting Kapiolani Boulevard between Piikoi Street and Kalakaua Avenue, if exceptional community benefits are provided (and/or the project has catalytic potential). The Applicant is seeking to develop the maximum density FAR of 10.0, which represents a 286 percent increase in floor area over a FAR of 2.5, and an additional FAR of 6.5 from a FAR of 3.5.
- Other aspects of the proposal that require flexibility are the encroachment into the street centerline height setback, reduction in required parking, a reduction in landscaping in the required yard, and the use of the site as a full-service hotel, rather than the limited service hotels currently permitted in the BMX-3 Community Business Mixed Use District. (Uses permitted in the BMX-4 Central Business Mixed Use District may be allowed through the IPD-T Permit.)

In Departmental Communication 477 (2016), the DPP reports that the following public benefits proposed by the Applicant may be considered benefits in the general interest of the public:

1. A 4,856-square-foot public plaza at the corner of Kapiolani Boulevard and Atkinson Drive;

CITY COUNCIL

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

OCT 0 5 2016

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 4

- 2. A 1,106 square-foot landscaped area at the intersection of Atkinson Drive and Kona Street;
- Traffic-calming and pedestrian safety measures at the intersection of Kapiolani Boulevard and Atkinson Drive, including a new pedestrian island and dedicated right-turn lane;
- 4. A new bus stop and bike-share station along Kapiolani Boulevard; and
- 5. A \$1.0 million contribution to a fund for affordable housing.

In Departmental Communication 477 (2016), the DPP reports that the following public benefits proposed by the Applicant do not qualify as benefits in the general interest of the public:

- 1. Wide sidewalks, outdoor dining, and parklets;
- 2. Amenity decks and terraces, private open space with allowance for public use on the roof deck, and balconies;
- 3. The realignment and signalization of the Kona Street at Atkinson Drive intersection to create a 90-degree angle;
- The alignment of the main Project driveway with Kahakai Drive;
- 5. Conducting a mobility study for the larger area to explore potential traffic improvements;

**CITY COUNCIL** 

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 5

The DPP reports that although the Applicant proposed 10 public benefits, only five items can be considered as public benefits. The DPP finds that the proposed public benefit value is not commensurate with the increased development value that will be achieved with the requested significant increases density (floor area), height, street centerline height setbacks, parking, landscaping, and use allowances. The DPP therefore recommends that the Applicant provide additional public benefits, including but not limited to:

- 1. The provision of 10 parking spaces for car-sharing.
- 2. An increased monetary contribution to affordable housing, from \$1 million to \$2.4 million.
- 3. A detailed bicycle parking plan with short- and long-term bicycle racks.
- 4. Increased public gathering space and amenities along Atkinson Drive (replacing the resident drop-off area).

Your Committee notes that the DPP, after a public hearing held on June 3, 2016, recommends approval of the conceptual plan for the IPD-T subject to conditions set forth in the Attachment to Departmental Communication 477 (2016) and the Resolution.

At your Committee's meeting on August 25, 2016, the Applicant and the Applicant's Agent provided a short presentation of the proposed project. The Applicant's Agent requested additional amendments to the conditions recommended by the DPP for inclusion in the Resolution relating to revised height setbacks; the pull-out driveway and residential drop-off area requirement and the site plan therefor; deletion of the requirement for new landscape plans for the corner of Kona Street and Atkinson Drive;

CITY COUNCIL

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

OCT 0 5 2016

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 6

timing of payment of the \$2.4 million affordable housing contribution; the proposed pedestrian island at the intersection of Kapiolani Boulevard and Atkinson Drive; and deletion of the requirement for signalization validation along Atkinson Drive and Kona Street.

Your Committee received public testimony in support from Hawaii Regional Council of Carpenters, Hawaii Construction Alliance, Pacific Resource Partnership, Hawaii Laborers-Employers Cooperation and Education Trust, and four individuals. Your Committee received oral and written comments from the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, and oral comments from one individual.

Your Committee has carefully reviewed the conditions recommended by the DPP for inclusion in this Resolution, as well as the additional changes requested by the Applicant, and has prepared a CD1 version of the Resolution that makes the following amendments:

- A. Splits the first WHEREAS clause into two separate clauses.
- B. In the second and fifth WHEREAS clauses, consistently refers to Exhibits A-2 through A-4, B-1 through B-16, C-1 through C-10, and D-1 through D-11.
- C. In the fourth and fifth WHEREAS clauses, refers to Departmental Communication 477 (2016).
- Adds July 6, 2016, as the date the Council received the DPP's report of D. findings and recommendations.

**CITY COUNCIL** 

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 7

- E. In Condition B, adds that the Applicant shall modify the Project plans to reflect a maximum height of 400 feet.
- F. In Condition C regarding the height setback, provides that any portion of a structure over 60 feet in height must have additional height setbacks of 20 feet, and the additional setback must be a continuous plane from the top of the structure to the height of 60 feet above grade.
- G. In Condition G, provides that the pull-out driveway and residential drop-off area on Atkinson Drive must be designed to limit the diversion and avoid safety concerns with pedestrian mobility near those areas.
- H. In Condition J.4, requires that the Applicant submit a revised site plan showing a pull-out driveway and residential drop-off area that limit the diversion and avoids safety concerns with pedestrian mobility.
- I. Deletes Condition J.6 and renumbers subsequent subsections of Condition J.
- J. In Condition J.6, requires that the sidewalk and pedestrian circulation plan include proposals to remove utility poles within the pedestrian path along Kona Street, preferably relocate the utilities underground, and comply with Americans with Disabilities Act guidelines. Also requires that the Applicant prioritize pedestrian mobility at the rear of the Project site, and work with the developers of the Kapiolani Residences, which is under construction 225 feet ewa of the Project site, to at a minimum create a continuous sidewalk between those two sites.

CITY COUNCIL

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 8

- Corrects a duplicate reference to Condition J, and re-alphabetizes K. subsequent conditions.
- L. Replaces Condition K.3 with a new Condition L that requires the Applicant to make the \$2.4 million affordable housing contribution prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy (instead of prior to the issuance of a building permit). Subsequent conditions are re-alphabetized.
- M. In Condition N.4, revises the first sentence to provide that the proposed pedestrian traffic island at the intersection of Kapiolani Boulevard and Atkinson Drive must be large enough, as deemed reasonable, to contain the projected amount of pedestrians expected to cross at this location at any one time.
- N. Deletes Condition N.6 and renumbers subsequent subsections of Condition
- 0. In Condition S, in addition to building permits, lists yards, setbacks, street façades, building placement, building orientation and entrances, building transparency, and pedestrian walkways as governmental agency requirements that are subject to separate review and approval.
- P. In Conditions S, T, and U, makes revisions to conform to the standard language and format in previous Council resolutions adopting conceptual plans, and with the governing ordinance.
- Q. In Condition T, decreases the period in which a building permit must be obtained, from five to two years after the effective date of the resolution.

CITY COUNCIL

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

OCT 0 5 2018

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 9

- R. In the BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED clause, revises the Council's findings to reflect the development standards flexibility requested by the Applicant, and adds finding D.
- S. In the BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED clause, corrects the address for Manaolana Partners LLC.
- Т. Makes miscellaneous technical and non-substantive amendments.

Your Committee, pursuant to ROH section 21-9.100-5, and in agreement with the conclusion of the DPP in Departmental Communication 477 (2016), and with the additional amendments made by the Committee, finds as follows with respect to the conceptual plan for the proposed IPD-T project, as conditioned herein:

- 1. The project concept, as a unified plan, is in the general interest of the public:
- 2. The requested Project boundaries and requested flexibility with respect to development standards and use regulations relating to density (floor area). height, street centerline height setbacks, parking, landscaping, and use allowances are consistent with the objectives of transit-oriented development and the provisions enumerated in ROH Section 21-9.100-4;
- 3. The requested flexibility with respect to development standards and use regulations relating to density (floor area), height, street centerline height setbacks, parking, landscaping, and use allowances is commensurate with the public amenities and community benefits proposed; and

CITY COUNCIL

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII

**Voting Members:** 

Trevor Ozawa, Chair; Ron Menor, Vice-Chair; Carol Fukunaga, Ann H. Kobayashi, Joey Manahan

> Committee Meeting Held August 25, 2016 Page 10

4. The public amenities proposed will produce timely, demonstrable benefits to the community, support transit ridership, and implement the vision established in ROH Section 21-9.100-4.

Your Committee on Zoning and Planning is in accord with the intent and purpose of Resolution 16-172, as amended herein, and recommends its adoption in the form attached hereto, as Resolution 16-172, CD1. (Ayes: Ozawa, Fukunaga, Kobayashi, Menor - 4; Noes: None; Excused: Manahan - 1.)

Respectfully submitted,

Committee Chair

At the 9/7/16 Council meeting, Committee Report 279 and Resolution 16-172, CD1 and proposed FD1 were referred back to Committee.

Committee Report 279 was not adopted and superseded by Committee Report 316.

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU HONOLULU, HAWAII



No.	<u>16-172,</u> CD1	
_		

APPROVING A CONCEPTUAL PLAN FOR AN INTERIM PLANNED DEVELOPMENT-TRANSIT PROJECT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MANAOLANA PLACE HOTEL AND RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT PROJECT.

WHEREAS, on April 5, 2016, the Department of Planning and Permitting (DPP) accepted the application (File No. 2016/SDD-23) of Manaolana Partners, LLC (herein referred to as the "Applicant"), for an Interim Planned Development-Transit (IPD-T) Permit to redevelop approximately 1.16 acres of land with a mixed use hotel and residential project in the Ala Moana neighborhood (the "Project"). The Project is identified as Tax Map Keys 2-3-041: 001 and 002, as shown on Exhibit A-1; and

WHEREAS, the Project will include the demolition of five low-rise buildings and the development of a 400-foot-high mixed-use tower with 109 multi-family dwelling units, 125 hotel units, a hotel lobby, residential activity and park areas, a ballroom, a pool, a personal services area (spa), four levels of parking with about 276 parking spaces, retail and eating establishments, a publically accessible corner plaza at the street level, and other ground-level pedestrian and right-of-way improvements in the BMX-3 Community Business Mixed Use District within one-half mile of the future transit station in the Ala Moana neighborhood (Exhibits A-2 through A-4, B-1 through B-16, C-1 through C-10, and D-1 through D-11); and

WHEREAS, on June 3, 2016, the DPP held a public hearing, which was attended by the Applicant, its representatives and Agent, and approximately 38 members of the public, of whom seven provided testimony; and

WHEREAS, on July 5, 2016, the DPP, having duly considered all evidence and reports of said public hearing and the review guidelines established in Sections 21-2.110-2 and 21-9.100-5 of the Land Use Ordinance (LUO), completed its report and transmitted its findings and recommendation of approval to the Council by Departmental Communication 477 (2016); and

WHEREAS, the conceptual plan for the Project is set forth in the exhibits attached hereto as Exhibits A-2 through A-4, B-1 through B-16, C-1 through C-10, and D-1 through D-11, and is further described in Departmental Communication 477 (2016), all of which are incorporated herein by this reference; and

No.	16-172, CD1	

WHEREAS, the City Council, having received the findings and recommendation of the DPP on July 6, 2016, and having duly considered the matter, desires to approve the conceptual plan for the Project, subject to the conditions enumerated below; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City and County of Honolulu that the conceptual plan for the Interim Planned Development–Transit Project is approved under the following conditions:

- A. The maximum permitted floor area for the Project shall be 505,410 square feet or a floor area ratio (FAR) of 10.0, whichever is greater.
- B. The maximum height of the Project shall be 400 feet. The Applicant shall modify the Project plans to reflect this maximum height.
- C. The height setback shall be as follows: Any portion of a structure over 60 feet in height shall have additional height setbacks of 20 feet. The additional setback shall be a continuous plane from the top of the structure to the height of 60 feet above grade.
- D. Usage of the site for hotel use as permitted under the BMX-4 Central Business Mixed Use District is allowed.
- E. Landscaping shall not be required in the five-foot front yard, provided site landscaping is installed pursuant to an approved landscape plan.
- F. The Applicant shall provide a minimum of 276 parking spaces on the site.
- G. The pull-out driveway and residential drop-off area on Atkinson Drive shall be designed to limit the diversion and avoid safety concerns with pedestrian mobility near the driveway and residential drop-off area.
- All construction plans and drawings shall be reviewed by the DPP Civil Engineering Branch for compliance with drainage and grading requirements.
- I. The public plaza proposed at the intersection of Kapiolani Boulevard and Atkinson Drive shall be available to the public and shall be maintained by the Applicant.



No.	16-172,	CD1

- J. Prior to submitting any building permit application, the Applicant shall:
  - 1. Submit a detailed bicycle parking plan to the DPP for review and approval. The plan shall include short- and long-term parking space and ground floor bike racks.
  - 2. Designate a minimum of 10 parking spaces to be used for car-sharing vehicles in perpetuity.
  - 3. Coordinate with Bikeshare Hawaii to design, implement, fund, construct, and maintain a bikeshare station at the site, and fund the required equipment for the bikeshare station.
  - 4. Submit a revised site plan showing a driveway and residential drop-off area that limit the diversion and avoids safety concerns with pedestrian mobility.
  - Coordinate with the Department of Transportation Services (DTS) and Oahu Transit Services to design, implement, fund, and construct a new bus stop along Kapiolani Boulevard.
  - 6. Submit a sidewalk and pedestrian circulation plan for review and approval by the DPP. The plan must include the removal of utility poles within the pedestrian path along Kona Street, relocation of the utilities (preferably underground), and compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act guidelines. The Applicant shall prioritize pedestrian mobility at the rear of the Project site, and work with the developers of the Kapiolani Residences, which is under construction 225 feet ewa of the Project site, to at a minimum create a continuous sidewalk between those two sites.
  - 7. Submit an updated wind study and wind mitigation strategies for review and approval by the DPP.
- K. Prior to the issuance of a building permit for the superstructure or building shell, the Applicant shall:
  - 1. Obtain approval for private park dedication.
  - 2. Obtain subdivision approval to designate the necessary public walkway and utility easements.

No. <b>16-172, CD1</b>	
------------------------	--

- L. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the development, the Applicant shall contribute \$2.4 million to a fund or account designated by the City to be used for affordable housing.
- M. The Applicant shall design and submit a wayfinding sign plan for review and approval of the Director of the DPP prior to issuance of any building permit, and then install the approved wayfinding signage prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO).
- N. Prior to the issuance of any demolition or building permit, the Applicant shall provide:
  - 1. A time line or phasing plan of the anticipated dates to obtain major building permit(s) for demolition/construction work, including the projected date of occupancy, shall be prepared by the Applicant in a format acceptable to the DPP. The time line must identify when the Construction Management Plan (CMP), the Traffic Management Plan (TMP) and updates and/or validation to the findings of the initial Traffic Impact Analysis Report (TIAR), dated March 2015, and off-site roadway work will be submitted for review and approval in relation to when approvals for construction plans, building and occupancy permits will be needed. Typically, the CMP should be submitted for review and approval prior to the issuance of demolition/building permits for major construction work. The TMP or subsequent updates must be submitted and approved prior to the issuance of the (temporary) certificate of CO. A post TIAR, including supplemental studies or subsequent updates, must be submitted and approved approximately one year after the (temporary) CO. A new TIAR may be required if there is a significant change to the scope or timing of the major work items contained in the initial report.
  - 2. The CMP shall identify the type, frequency and routing of heavy trucks and construction related vehicles. Every effort shall be made to minimize impacts from these vehicles and related construction activities on adjacent streets and neighborhoods. The CMP must identify and limit vehicular activity related to construction to periods outside of the peak periods of traffic, utilizing alternate routes for heavy trucks, provisions for either onsite or off-site staging areas for construction related workers and vehicles to limit the use of on-street parking around the Project site and other mitigation measures related to traffic and potential neighborhood impacts. Preliminary or conceptual traffic control plans must also be included in the CMP. The Applicant shall document the condition of roadways prior to the

No.	16-1	172,	CD1	

start of construction activities and provide remedial measures, as necessary, such as restriping, road resurfacing and/or reconstruction if the condition of the roadways deteriorates as a result of the related construction activities.

- 3. A TMP shall include Traffic Demand Management (TDM) strategies to minimize the amount of vehicular trips for daily activities by employees and for the possibility of large events being held on the property. TDM strategies could include carpooling and ride sharing programs, transit, bicycle and pedestrian incentives and other similar TDM measures. A pedestrian circulation plan must also be included to provide accessibility and connectivity to and along the surrounding public sidewalks and at street intersections. A determination of the effective sidewalk widths, taking into account Complete Streets initiatives, must be provided. A post TMP will be required approximately one year after the issuance of the CO to validate the relative effectiveness of the various TDM strategies identified in the initial report.
- 4. The proposed pedestrian traffic island at the intersection of Kapiolani Boulevard and Atkinson Drive must be large enough, as deemed reasonable, to contain the projected amount of pedestrians expected to cross at this location at any one time. The crosswalk must be at-grade to the curb and a pedestrian island (raised table crossing) must be constructed. An exclusive right turn lane must be constructed on Kapiolani Boulevard, similar to the intersection configuration at Kapiolani Boulevard at Keeaumoku Street. Additional land may be required to implement this improvement and will be considered as a public benefit being provided by this Project.
- 5. The Project driveway on Atkinson Drive must be designed as a right angle configuration to the street for a distance of at least one vehicle length from the back of the sidewalk.
- 6. Construction plans for all work within or affecting public streets must be submitted for review and approval. Traffic control plans during construction must also be submitted for review and approval, as required. Vehicular access points shall be constructed as standard City dropped driveways. Adequate vehicular sight distance shall be provided and maintained at all driveways to pedestrians and other vehicles. Driveway grades shall not exceed five percent for a minimum distance of 25 feet from the back of the designated pedestrian walkway. Entry gates and



No. \_\_\_**16-172, CD1** 

#### RESOLUTION

ticket dispensers must be recessed as far into the driveway as necessary to avoid any queuing onto public streets. All loading and parking areas shall be designed such that vehicles enter and exit, front first.

- 7. The Applicant shall meet with staff at DPP and DTS at their earliest convenience to discuss traffic related issues for off-site work on City streets and provisions for Complete Street strategies for this Project prior to the submittal of construction plans to minimize and expedite the time necessary for construction plan review.
- O. A post TIAR will be required approximately one year after the issuance of the CO to validate the traffic projections, distribution and assignment contained in the initial TIAR. If additional traffic mitigation measures or modifications are necessary to support related traffic impacts directly attributable to this development, the Applicant will be required to implement these measures. If the findings of the post TIAR are inconclusive, a follow-up study may be required within a year of this post study, as necessary.
- P. An archaeological inventory survey shall be completed and submitted to the Department of Land and Natural Resources—State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) for review and approval. In the event that subsurface historic resources, including human skeletal remains, structural remains, cultural deposits, artifacts, sand deposits, or sink holes are identified during the demolition and/or construction activities, all work shall cease in the immediate vicinity of the find. The Applicant shall protect the find from additional disturbance and contact the SHPD immediately. Without any limitation to any other condition found herein, if any burials or archaeological or historic sites are discovered during the course of construction of the Project, all construction activity in the vicinity of the discovery shall stop until the issuance of an archaeological clearance from the SHPD that mitigation measures have been implemented to its satisfaction.
- Q. The Applicant shall be responsible for the coordination with applicable public agencies and compliance with applicable regulations for the construction of the proposed Project.
- R. The Applicant shall be responsible for the maintenance of all constructed improvements not otherwise accepted by the City/State for maintenance.
- S. Approval of this Resolution does not constitute compliance with other LUO or governmental agencies' requirements, including but not limited to yards, setbacks, street façades, building placement, building orientation and entrances,



No	16-172,	CD1
----	---------	-----

building transparency, pedestrian walkways, and building permit approval. They are subject to separate review and approval. The Applicant shall be responsible for ensuring that the final plans for the Project comply with all applicable Land Use Ordinance and other governmental provisions and requirements.

- The Project must receive a building permit for the proposed development within two years of the effective date of this Resolution. Failure to obtain a building permit within this period will render null and void this Resolution and all approvals issued hereunder, provided that this period deadline may be extended as follows:
  - 1. The Director of Planning and Permitting may extend this deadline if the Applicant demonstrates good cause, but the deadline shall not be extended beyond one year from the initial deadline without approval of the City Council, which may grant or deny the approval in its complete discretion.
  - 2. If the Applicant requests an extension beyond one year from the initial deadline and the Director finds that the Applicant has demonstrated good cause for the extension, the Director shall prepare and submit to the Council a report on the proposed extension, which report shall include the Director's findings and recommendations thereon and a proposed resolution approving the extension. The Council may approve the proposed extension or an extension for a shorter or longer period, or deny the proposed extension, by resolution.
  - 3. If the Council fails to take final action on the proposed extension within the first to occur of: (i) 60 days after receipt of the Director's report; or (ii) the Applicant's then-existing deadline for obtaining a building permit, the extension shall be deemed to be denied.
- U. The Project shall conform to the conceptual plan approved hereby and all conditions established herein. Any changes to the conceptual plan shall require a new application and approval by the Council. The Director of Planning and Permitting may approve changes to the Project that do not significantly alter the size or nature of the Project, if the changes remain in conformance with the conceptual plan and the conditions herein. Any increase in height or density of the Project will be considered a significant alteration and a change to the conceptual plan.

No.	16-172, CD1	

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Council finds as follows with respect to the conceptual plan for the Project, as conditioned herein:


- A. The Project concept, as a unified plan, is in the general interest of the public.
- B. The requested Project boundaries and requested flexibility with respect to development standards and use regulations relating to density (floor area), height, street centerline height setbacks, parking, landscaping, and use allowances are consistent with the objectives of transit-oriented development and the provisions enumerated in ROH Section 21-9.100-4.
- C. The requested flexibility with respect to development standards and use regulations relating to density (floor area), height, street centerline height setbacks, parking, landscaping, and use allowances is commensurate with the public amenities and community benefits proposed.
- D. The public amenities proposed will produce timely, demonstrable benefits to the community, support transit ridership, and implement the vision established in ROH Section 21-9.100-4.



No.	<u>16-172</u>	, CD1	


BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted to George I. Atta, FAICP, Director of the Department of Planning and Permitting, 650 South King Street, 7th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813; Manaolana Partners, LLC, 11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90025; Kaijima Kagaku USA Inc., 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 1700, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813; and Michael D. Formby, Director of the Department of Transportation Services, 650 South King Street, 3rd Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.

	INTRODUCED BY:
	Ernest Martin (br)
DATE OF INTRODUCTION:	
July 7, 2016	
Honolulu. Hawaii	Councilmembers




Prepared by: Department of Planning & Permitting City and County of Honolulu

Copyright Cily & County of Honolulu All Rights Reserved 2016




Prepared by: Department of Planning & Permitting City and County of Honolulu

Copyright City & County of Honolulu All Rights Reserved 2016




Prepared by: Department of Planning & Permitting City and County of Honolulu

Copyright City & County of Honolulu All Rights Reserved 2016








**EXHIBIT A-4** 


























**GROUND FLOOR** 


























FLOOR PLANS




























































































**EXHIBIT B-15** 






Ó







**EXHIBIT B-16** 



GENERAL NOTE:

KAPIOLANI ELEVATION








EXHIBIT C-1







## **EXHIBIT C-2**



NEW LUO SETBACKS











EXHIBIT C-3



ATKINSON ELEVATION NEW LUO SETBACKS










**EXHIBIT C-5** 

WANTO, WANT

LONGITUDINAL SECTION










**EXHIBIT C-6** 



KONA ELEVATION NEW LUO SETBACKS








EXHIBIT C-7










LUO ENVELOPE


EXHIBIT C-9

**うき**す

W.Wester, ng 0000000000000000 0000000000000000 000000000000000000 00000000000000 000000000000000 

ELEVATORING DIAGRAM

















SHADOW STUDY





SHADOW STUDY



















CONTEXT VIEWS




PERSPECTIVE FROM EAST









PERSPECTIVE FROM KAPIOLANI



















PLAZA PERSPECTIVE










PERSPECTIVE FROM ATKINSON










PERSPECTIVE FROM WEST
























GUEST ROOM CHARACTER